1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	STEPHEN C. STEINBERG (SBN 230656) ssteinberg@bzbm.com TIFFANY S. HANSEN (SBN 292850) thansen@bzbm.com BARTKO ZANKEL BUNZEL & MILLER A Professional Law Corporation One Embarcadero Center, Suite 800 San Francisco, California 94111 Telephone: (415) 956-1900 Facsimile: (415) 956-1152 MARK S. PALMER (SBN 203256) mark@palmerlex.com 4 Meadow Drive Mill Valley, CA 94941		
9	Telephone: (415) 336.7002 Facsimile: (415) 634-1671		
10 11	Attorneys for Defendants and Counter-Claimants PAUL REICHE III and ROBERT FREDERICK		
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
13	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CAL	IFORNIA, OAKLAND DIVISION	
14	STARDOCK SYSTEMS, INC.,	Case No. 4:17-CV-07025-SBA	
115 116 117 118 119 20 21 22 22 23 24 25	Plaintiff, v. PAUL REICHE III and ROBERT FREDERICK FORD, Defendants. PAUL REICHE III and ROBERT FREDERICK FORD, Counter-Claimants, v. STARDOCK SYSTEMS, INC., Counter-Defendants.	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS AND COUNTER- CLAIMANTS PAUL REICHE III AND ROBERT FREDERICK FORD'S MOTION TO DISMISS COUNTS TWELVE AND THIRTEEN OF STARDOCK'S THIRD AMENDED COMPLAINT [FED. R. CIV. P. 12(b)(6)] Judge: Hon. Saundra B. Armstrong Date: December 12, 2018 Time: 1:00 p.m. Ctrm: 5	
26 27 28			

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS AND COUNTER-CLAIMANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS COUNTS TWELVE AND THIRTEEN OF STARDOCK'S THIRD AMENDED COMPLAINT

Case No. 4:17-CV-07025-SBA

2635.000/1346119.1

1	Defendants and Counter-Claimants Paul Reiche III and Robert Frederick Ford's	
2	(collectively, "Reiche and Ford") Motion to Dismiss Counts Twelve and Thirteen of Stardock's	
3	Third Amended Complaint was heard on December, 2018 at 1:00 p.m. by this Court. Having	
4	considered all papers filed in support of and in opposition to the Motion, oral arguments of	
5	counsel, and all other pleadings and papers on file herein, the Court finds as follows:	
6	1. Stardock's Twelfth Count for Tortious Interference with Prospective Economic	
7	Advantage is preempted by federal copyright law.	
8	2. Stardock failed to state a legally sufficient claim against Reiche and Ford for	
9	Tortious Interference with Prospective Economic Advantage.	
0	3. Stardock's Thirteenth Count for Tortious Interference with Contractual Relations	
1	preempted by federal copyright law.	
2	4. Stardock failed to state a legally sufficient claim against Reiche and Ford for	
3	Tortious Interference with Contractual Relations.	
4	Good cause appearing therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:	
5	1. Reiche and Ford's Motion to Dismiss Counts Twelve and Thirteen of Stardock's	
6	Third Amended Complaint is GRANTED .	
7	2. Count Twelve of Stardock's Third Amended Complaint is DISMISSED with	
8	prejudice without leave to amend.	
9	3. Count Thirteen of Stardock's Third Amended Complaint is DISMISSED with	
20	prejudice without leave to amend.	
21		
22	DATED:	
23	The Honorable Saundra B. Armstrong United States District Judge	
24	Officed States District Judge	
25		
26		
27		
28		

2635.000/1346119.1 2 Case No. 4:17-CV-07025-SBA